

# **Necessary vs. Sufficient Reasoning as an Instructional Tool to Improve Student Data Analysis Skills**

**Aaron Coleman**

University of California San Diego, Division of Biological Sciences, 9500 Gilman Drive #0355, La Jolla  
CA 92093 USA

([abcoleman@ucsd.edu](mailto:abcoleman@ucsd.edu))

The learning of scientific reasoning skills, including the abilities to think critically, interpret data presented in figures and tables, and form hypotheses, are critical to an undergraduate education in the biological sciences. Data interpretation skills are particularly critical; as students advance through their courses they are required to analyze and draw conclusions from increasingly complex data representations. It is clear that students benefit from instruction in how to interpret complex representations in cell and molecular biology, and much of this benefit comes from understanding the experimental design. As students continually encounter experimental designs that are new to them, it would be useful to identify teachable analytical skills that would benefit students in interpreting a variety of different data representations. To address this, we are assessing how instruction in necessary vs. sufficient reasoning affects students' abilities to interpret data representations from different experimental designs. This reasoning skill, based on the role an intermediate factor in question plays in facilitating a defined effect from a defined stimulus, is applicable to interpreting data sets from many fields of biology. Students in an upper division biochemistry lab class were assessed for their ability to interpret data representations of increasing complexity, before and after completing an instructional module that focuses on necessary vs. sufficient reasoning. The average student score increased from 68.6% to 75.3% following completion of the module ( $p < 0.01$ ). More importantly, the largest gains were found for interpreting more complex data representations and for experiments that were not designed to determine necessary vs. sufficient. This suggests that instruction in necessary vs. sufficient reasoning could increase students' analytical skills across a range of data representation categories.

**Link to Original Poster**

<http://www.ableweb.org/volumes/vol-35/poster?art=48>

## Mission, Review Process & Disclaimer

The Association for Biology Laboratory Education (ABLE) was founded in 1979 to promote information exchange among university and college educators actively concerned with teaching biology in a laboratory setting. The focus of ABLE is to improve the undergraduate biology laboratory experience by promoting the development and dissemination of interesting, innovative, and reliable laboratory exercises. For more information about ABLE, please visit <http://www.ableweb.org/>.

Papers published in *Tested Studies for Laboratory Teaching: Peer-Reviewed Proceedings of the Conference of the Association for Biology Laboratory Education* are evaluated and selected by a committee prior to presentation at the conference, peer-reviewed by participants at the conference, and edited by members of the ABLE Editorial Board.

## Citing This Article

Coleman, A. 2014. Necessary vs. Sufficient Reasoning as an Instructional Tool to Improve Student Data Analysis Skills. Pages 426-427 in *Tested Studies for Laboratory Teaching*, Volume 35 (K. McMahon, Editor). Proceedings of the 35th Conference of the Association for Biology Laboratory Education (ABLE), 477 pages.

<http://www.ableweb.org/volumes/vol-35/?art=48>

Compilation © 2014 by the Association for Biology Laboratory Education, ISBN 1-890444-17-0. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the copyright owner.

ABLE strongly encourages individuals to use the exercises in this proceedings volume in their teaching program. If this exercise is used solely at one's own institution with no intent for profit, it is excluded from the preceding copyright restriction, unless otherwise noted on the copyright notice of the individual chapter in this volume. Proper credit to this publication must be included in your laboratory outline for each use; a sample citation is given above.